

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/047,728	BUSSEAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Renata McCloud	2837

All Participants:

(1) Renata McCloud.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Shawn Hunter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that claim 21 is a method claim that depends from apparatus claim 17. Att. Hunter indicated that claim 21 should depend from claim 18 and agreed to correct this via examiner amendment

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)